

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223/3-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/905,533	07/14/2001	Myles Jordan	655/62436 34	
7590 11/10/2004		EXAMINER		
Richard F. Jaworski			SCHUBERT, KEVIN R	
Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			2137	

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		09/905,533	JORDAN, MYLES				
	,	Examiner Sebubart	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	Kevin Schubert	2137				
Period fo			mar and demospherical address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, assions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply in the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply in the set or extended period for reply set or extended period for reply in the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply set or ex	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ilication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) NII, by statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commule ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 7/14/2000					
· · · · ·)⊠ This action is non-final.					
′=	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
•	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the ap	plication					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
-	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>7/14/2000</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
,—	Applicant may not request that any objecti						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attacl	ned Office Action or form PTO-1	152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
-,	1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do		1 Application No				
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of			ge			
	application from the International						
* (See the attached detailed Office action		not received.				
Attach=======	*(a)						
Attachmen	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) ☐ Intervie	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) Paper I	No(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08) 5) Notice 6) Other:	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-18 have been considered.

Drawings

- Figure 2 of the drawings is objected to. In a flow chart, a diamond block indicates a decision the system takes which has an affirmative and a negative branch. Block S22 has 3 branches making it confusing to understand what is happening. Figure 2 should be redrawn with the appropriate standard of 2 branches. Appropriate correction is required.
- Figure 3 of the drawings is objected to. There is no indication what happens when the answer to "Area read from" is negative as pertaining to diamond S35. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.



25

30

15

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(1) as being unpatentable by Nachenberg, U.S. Patent No. 6,357,008.

As per claims 1,7,9, and 17, the applicant discloses the following method which is anticipated by Nachenberg:

- a) emulating computer executable code in a subject file (Col 7, lines 9-12);
- b) flagging a memory area that is read during emulation of a first instruction in the computer executable code (Col 9, lines 5-10);
- c) detecting a modification to the flagged memory area during emulation of a second instruction in the computer executable code (Col 9, lines 5-10);

Application/Control Number: 09/905,533

Art Unit: 2137

10

15

25

The applicant should note that claim 9 also claims a processor which is disclosed by Nachenberg in the Description of the Preferred Embodiments (Col 6, lines 22-24).

As per claims 2,8,10, and 18, the applicant discloses the following method which is

anticipated by Nachenberg:

- a) emulating computer executable code in a subject file (Col 7, lines 9-12);
- b) maintaining a list of memory regions that have been read and then modified during the emulation (Col 9, lines 11-14);
- c) determining whether a memory area is read during emulation of a first instruction in the computer executable code and whether the memory area is modified during emulation of a second instruction in the computer executable code (Col 9, lines 5-10);
 - d) updating the list of memory regions to include the modified memory area (Col 9, lines 11-14);
- e) triggering a viral detection alarm, if one of the listed memory regions is larger than a predetermined size (Col 8, lines 1-7);

The applicant should note that claim 10 also claims a processor which is disclosed by Nachenberg in the Description of the Preferred Embodiments (Col 6, lines 22-24).

As per claims 3 and 13, the applicant discloses the method of claims 2 and 12,
respectively, which are anticipated by Nachenberg (see above) with the following limitation which is also anticipated by Nachenberg:

Wherein the emulation is performed on an instruction-by-instruction basis (Col 7, lines 55-67);

As per claims 4 and 14, the applicant discloses the method of claims 2 and 12, respectively, which are anticipated by Nachenberg (see above) with the following limitations which are also anticipated by Nachenberg:

5

10

15

20

25

a) determining whether a selected one of the listed memory regions overlaps the modified memory area (Figure 4B);

b) updating the selected memory region to encompass the modified memory area (Col 9, lines 11-14);

The application should note that step 420 of Figure 4B is the determination step as to whether the modified memory area has already been noted. This determination step identifies whether the modified memory area has already been noted in whole or in part, so if there is an overlap, this step picks that up.

As per claims 5 and 15, the applicant discloses the method of claims 2 and 12, respectively, which are anticipated by Nachenberg (see above) with the following limitations which are also anticipated by Nachenberg:

- a) determining whether a selected one of the listed memory regions is contiguous with the modified memory area (Col 18, lines 5-7; Figure 4B; Claim 16);
- b) updating the selected memory region to encompass the modified memory area (Col 9, lines 11-14);

Pertaining to part a), the applicant should note that Nachenberg leaves the determination of the virus region in step 420 of Figure 4 open (Col 18, lines 5-7). This means that Nachenberg allows for a variety of methods to identify the virus region. Nachenberg also discusses in claim 16 that identifying contiguous sections of modified bytes in memory is an easy way to discern whether the viral body has decrypted. Thus, a method to monitor whether a selected region is contiguous with a modified region is one of several ways to identify a virus region and is implicitly covered in the determination of the virus region step of Figure 4.

As per claims 6 and 16, the applicant discloses the method of claims 2 and 12, respectively, which are anticipated by Nachenberg (see above) with the following limitations which are also anticipated by Nachenberg:

Application/Control Number: 09/905,533

Art Unit: 2137

a) determining whether the modified memory area overlaps the listed memory regions (Figure 4B);

b) adding the modified memory area as a new memory region to the list of memory regions, if the modified memory area does not overlap any of the listed memory regions (Col 9, lines 11-14);

The application should note that step 420 of Figure 4B is the determination step as to whether the modified memory area has already been noted. This determination step would identify whether the modified memory area has already been noted in whole or in part, so if there were an overlap, this step would pick that up.

10

15

20

25

5

As per claim 11, the applicant discloses the following apparatus for detecting decryption of encrypted viral code with the following limitations:

- a) a code emulator, wherein the code emulator emulates computer executable code in a subject file, and outputs memory access information corresponding to the emulated computer executable code (Col 7, lines 9-12; Col 7, lines 17-21);
- b) a memory monitor, wherein the memory monitor monitors the memory access information output by the code emulator, flags a memory area that is read during the emulation of a first instruction in the computer executable code, and detects a modification to the flagged memory area during emulation of a second instruction in the computer executable code (Col 9, lines 5-14);

As per claim 12, the applicant discloses the following apparatus for detecting decryption of encrypted viral code with the following limitations:

- a) a code emulator, wherein the code emulator emulates computer executable code in a subject file, and outputs memory access information corresponding to the emulated computer executable code (Col 7, lines 9-12; Col 7, lines 17-21);
- b) a memory monitor, wherein the memory monitor monitors the memory access information output by the code emulator, maintains a list of memory regions that have been read

and modified during emulation, determines whether a memory area is read during emulation of a first instruction in the computer executable code and whether the memory area is modified during emulation of a second instruction in the computer executable code, updates the list of memory regions to include the modified memory area, and triggers a viral detection alarm, if one of the listed memory regions is larger than a predetermined size (Col 9, lines 5-14; Col 8, lines 1-7).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Schubert whose telephone number is (571) 272-4239. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

20

5

10

15

Andrew Caldwell
Andrew Caldwell